1N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent Application of	)	
YASUDA et al.	)	Art Unit 2153
Application Number: 10/767,778	)	
Filed: January 30, 2004	)	
For: FILE REPLICATION METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTED FILE SYSTEMS	)	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. NITT.0180	)	

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

## PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d)

### FOR ACCELERATED EXAMINATION

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d), Applicant respectively requests that the application be examined on the merits in conjunction with the pre-examination search results, the detailed discussion of the relevance of the results and amendments as filed concurrently.

Substantive consideration of the claims is respectfully solicited. Should there be any outstanding issues requiring discussion that would further the prosecution and allowance of the above-captioned application, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative at the address and telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

**REED SMITH LLP** 

3110 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 1400 Falls Church, Virginia 22042

(703) 641-4200

November 7, 2005

SPF/JCM/JT

Stanley P. Fisher

Registration Number 24,344

uan Carlos A! A da que 2000 1 98080064 19767778

Registration Number 34,072

130.00 OP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S	S. Patent Application of	)
YASUDA	A et al.	) Art Unit 2153
Applicat	tion Number: 10/767,778	)
Filed: Ja	anuary 30, 2004	)
	TILE REPLICATION METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTED	)
_	EY DOCKET NO. NITT.0180	) )

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

# SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENTS & PRE-EXAMINATION SEARCH REPORT IN SUPPORT OF THE RENEWED PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.102 and MPEP 708.02 VIII, Applicant hereby submits that (1) all claims of record are directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status; (2) a pre-examination search has been conducted according to the following field of search; (3) copies of each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims are enclosed; and (4) a detailed discussion of the references pointing out how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references is also enclosed herewith.

#### FIELD OF THE SEARCH

<u>Class</u>	Subclasses
707 711	204 161 162
	165
	170

The search was directed towards a storage system. In particular, the search was directed towards A file replication method for creating, in a distributed file system including

a plurality of network storage apparatus and a replication system each connected to a network wherein the replication system has a management table for managing attribute information of all files and directories in the network storage apparatus as a replication source, a partial copy of data stored in the network storage apparatus as the replication source in the network storage apparatus as a replication destination, said method comprising the steps of: preliminarily recording replication information for specifying a file as a target of replication in said replication system; receiving a file access request from a client; judging whether or not a replicating operation should be performed with execution of said file access request by using said management table and said replication information; and simultaneously transferring, if a result of said judgment is such that the replicating operation should be performed, said file access request to said network storage apparatus as the replication source and to said network storage apparatus as the replication destination. (See <u>Discussion of References</u> paragraph for claims indexed with reference numbers in the specification and highlighted portions of the claims.)

#### LIST OF RELEVANT REFERENCES

<u>U.S. Patent Number</u>	<u>Inventors</u>
6,408,298 B1	Van et al
6,883,073 B2	Arakawa et al.

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. Inventor(s)

2005/0086384 A1 Ernst

#### Discussion of References:

It is submitted that the cited references, whether taken individually or in combination with each other, fail to teach or suggest the invention as claimed. The cited references, at a minimum, fail to teach or suggest in combination with each other the limitations recited in the claims. In particular, at least the features of (1) "judging whether or not a replicating operation should be performed with execution of said file access request by using said management table and said replication information" as now recited in claims 1 and 4, (2) "judging, by using said replication information, whether or not a replicating operation should be performed with execution of said file access request" as now recited in claim 9, and (3)

"judging whether or not the file access target of said file access request should be replicated in said external file system by using the unified management directory and the replication information" as now recited in claim 12, are patentably distinct from the cited prior art references.

The file replication method for creating, in a distributed file system 103 including a plurality of network storage apparatus and a replication system 104 each connected to a network 102, the replication system 104 having a management table for managing attribute information of all files and directories in a first network storage apparatus as a replication source, a partial copy of data stored in the first network storage apparatus into a second network storage apparatus as a replication destination of the invention (for example, the embodiment depicted in Figs. 1 & 5-6; pp. 12-15, 40-43), as now recited in claim 1, comprises the steps of: preliminarily recording replication information for specifying a file as a target of replication in said replication system 104; receiving a file access request from a client 100; judging whether or not a replicating operation should be performed with execution of said file access request by using said management table and said replication information; and simultaneously transferring, if a result of said judgment is such that the replicating operation should be performed, said file access request to said first network storage apparatus and to said second network storage apparatus. The method recited in claim 9 includes more details.

The invention as recited in claim 4 is directed to a replication system for implementing the method recited in claim 1. Claim 12 recites a similar system.

To the extent applicable to the present Petition, Applicants submit that although the distinguishing feature(s) may represent a substantial portion of the claimed invention, the claimed invention including said feature(s) and their inter-operation provides a novel disk array device.

US patent No. 6,408,298 B1 to Van et al was assigned to Microsoft Corp. and entitled as Methods and Systems for Copying and Moving Across Virtual Namespaces. Van moves a collection of resources from a source virtual namespace to a destination virtual namespace. For each directory the computer determines the file system path that corresponds to the virtual namespace (figure 2; column 2, lines 26-49). However, Van replicates in response to an appropriate request "as is," i.e., in a non-discriminated manner (Abstract), rather than exercising any independent judgments/determination regarding whether or not to replicate. As such, Van does not provide the (1)-(3) features as now recited in the independent claims.

US patent No. 6,883,073 B2 to Arakawa et al. was assigned to Hitachi, Ltd. and entitled as Virtualized Volume Snapshot Formation Method. Arakawa has a server 100

located on a SAN 600 and having a virtualized storage region, and a method for controlling replica formation, with a control device holding entire or partial correspondence information (figure 24; column 20, lines 1-14). However, **Arakawa** does not replicates files in a "distributed file system (DFS)," which organizes file and directory services of individual servers into a global directory in such a way that remote data access is not location-specific but is identical from any client, and all files are accessible to all users of the global file system and organization is hierarchical and directory-based. In addition, **Arakawa**'s storage device 300 takes a snapshot on volume basis of a physical volume 400 (which constitutes a virtualized volume), or a partial region basis of the physical volume 400, *whenever* requested by the backup software on the host 200 (col. 19, lines 36-38; Fig. 24), rather than exercising any independent judgments/determination regarding whether or not to replicate. As such, **Arakawa** does not provide the (1)-(3) features as now recited in the independent claims.

US patent application publication No. 2005/0086384 A1 of Ernst was entitled as System and Method for Replicating, Integrating and Synchronizing Distributed Information. Ernst discloses partial replication in a distributed architecture, and shows a system that supports virtual file systems (figure 4; paragraphs 23 and 355). However, Ernst's notes either hold a full copy of the exact same information 302 or a fraction 402 of the shared information as whenever ordered by a customer ([0105]; Fig. 6), rather than exercising any independent judgments/determination regarding whether or not to replicate. As such, Ernst does not provide the (1)-(3) features as now recited in the independent claims.

#### Conclusion

Therefore, since the cited references fail to teach or suggest the above described (1)(3) features of the present invention as recited in independent claims 1, 4, 9 and 12 in
combination with the other limitations recited in each of the independent claims, it is
submitted that all of the claims are patentable over the cited references whether said
references are taken individually or in combination with each other.

In view of all the above, clear and distinct differences as discussed exist between the present invention as now claimed and the prior art references, Applicant respectfully contends that the prior art references cannot anticipate the present invention or render the present invention obvious. Rather, the present invention as a whole is distinguishable, and thereby allowable over the prior art.

Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully solicited. Should there be any outstanding issues requiring discussion that would further the prosecution and allowance

of the above-captioned application, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative at the address and telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Stanley P. Fisher

Registration Number 24,344

Juan Carlos A. Marquez Registration Number 34,072

**REED SMITH LLP** 

3110 Fairview Park Drive Suite 1400 Falls Church, Virginia 22042 (703) 641-4200

November 7, 2005

SPF/JCM/JT